kadio and Television

Albert Burke Fi Washington Audience

By Lawrence Laurent CPYRGHT By Lawrence

Dr. Carl Ramus of 3318 Gumwood drive, Hysttsville, Md., asks if he can get

copies of the Sunday night lectures of Albert Burke (8:30 p. m., WITG). He adds: "To my He m i n d, Burke's lectures are the best talks on the air and



I would very Laurent much like to have printed copies for re-reading."

copies for 'e-reading."

The ansiver is that the talks are not being printed, at the coment. However, Mark Young, a vice president of fetropolitan Broadcasting to, is trying to provide copies.

From J. L. Maury Jr. of 5511 (cospect st., Chevy Chast comes a complaint that he titles of Burke's lection are not listed in the Suit Chevision hightelevision high-light The reason is that where been unable to get the lormation in time for pur fation.

we, who lives in Con-part and broadcasts in the City, is trying to the true time in Wash-gron, we has promised that hall schedule the subjects of the programs in time for publication.

Reader Maury "The format of this program, although in no way complex, very deftly utilizes echniques which can be stehed by no other media. Lally it consists of a consider discussing vari-. In his commentary Burke le ergetie and often outspoken Some of his material is controversial. However, all of what he has to say is important. It is important in the light of the configued existence of this country as leader of the Free World,"

LETTER signed by "Zelda Goosebaum" (can there be such a name?) con-tains this comment: "The late flicks on TV that keep us awake nights are the same ones that used to put. us to sleep 20 years ago,".

JOHNSON Washington asks: "Did you. notice the honer on a recent Have Gun, Will Travel program, Calamity Jane was

program. Calaminy Jane was taking a bath is a modern, tiled bathroom!

I didn't see the program, but from all accounts by reliable historiate the biggest boner was to the Calamity Jane taking a taking or in any kind of water.

PATRICK D. HAZ LO of the Annenberg School of Communications at liniversity of Pennsyl nia is teaching this sumuler in Hawaii. He sends postal card which reports. After Captain Kangaroo TV goes black until 4 Just another instance of loow civilized this print the baradise really is. adise really is."

🕅 Release 2000/09/11 : CIA 000300470043-7 Approved For Release 2000/09/11 CIA-RDP CPYRGHT

25X1A9a

WASHINGTON MERRY-GO-ROUND

Red Sub Threat Sparks Tiff

By DREW PEARSON

WASHINGTON: June 27.

—A disagreement over the Soviet submarine threat has now developed into a dispute over whisky between Reps. Sam Stratton, New York Democrat, and Tom Curtis, Missouri Republican. Each claims the other owes him a case of whisky.

It all started when the Navy offered a case of whisky to anyone sighting a Russian submarine in American waters. Curtis promptly doubled the offer to anyone who could name a single-Soviet submarine base that was free of ice the year around.

Stratton produced a Navy shipping guide stating Murmansk was lee free, and demanded his whisky. But Curtis treumphantly discovered in the shipping guide that icebreakers had to be used during sales winter months. He claimed this forced submarines to stay in as narrow lane, making detection easy.

"Curtis is staking a mountain out of a mole hill," Stratton grumbled privately. He found a naval officer who had been stationed at Murmansk during World War II and who claimed the base was operational all year long."

But Curtis claimed he was from Missouri and insisted that ice blockage hampered submarine operations out of Murmansk. Neither -Congressmen would akknowledge losing the bet, though both insisted their interest in the whisky was secondary to their concern over the rubmarine problem.

Inter-Dem Splits

How deep the split is within the Democratic and liberal torses was shown during the secret Senate House conference on the housir, bill. At times



was fouch and go whether bolesmen of the two chamers would resolve their differ-

"I will have he part of this overment by veto," exploded femonatic Sen. Paul Douglas of fillends. "We are not here to please the President, and escape his veto, but to frait although program that will best serve the public interest.

"I may as well tell you now!

I will not sign any report by
this conference that tears the
tousing bill to pieces: In that
event it will be my intention to
alle a minority report."

"Senator, neither is it our intention to bring out a bill prinarily designed to coincide with the President's views," countered Democratic Rep. Wright Patham of Texas. "However, I think you will agree that it is better to bring out something he will sign, rather than have all the work we have put into this bill wiped out by a yeto."

"Our first duty is to the people we represent, not the Frestdent," disputed Douglas, supjorted by Democratic Sen. Joe Clark of Pennsylvania. "Since a this conference began there has been a disposition not to report a bill that will best solve our

housing needs, but factor to meet the President traitway I can't go along with that line of trinking.

Democratic diep Albeit Reins of Alghand and Paintin replied that the emented bellimited the ministion of the cours ing program, not the funds me endether needed for fluin redevelopment, public housing, eth

"Without some minification, we are risiding a veto, in which case we wen't have a housing law this session," argued Rains. "Is that what you want? This bill lan't perfect, but it's a good till."

(2) 1959, by The Bell Qualitate, Inc. 18

Approved For Release 2000/09/11: CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470043-7

Matter of Fact

Khrushchev's Longer in the Clavo

By Stewart Altop

SOMETIMES IT is instructive to compare the hopes of the past with the realities of the present. Last July 4, when Nikita Khrushchev burged his "Stalinist" colleagues in the Kremlin, the New York Times accurately lescribed the mood of the Capital as one of "gleeful speculation." The burden of this gleeful speculation was that Khrushchev had "won a smashing victory for his new look policies of easing tensions at home and abroad."

This hopeful interpretation of the Soviet purge seemed

What has happened is that Soviet foreign policy has been tougher and more aggressive than at any time since Situation death. Very shortly after Khrushchev's triumph, the Soviets made it crystal clear that they were no long-or interested in negotiating

seriously on disarmament.
Since then, the Soviets
have talked and acted in a
manner worthy of Molotov at
his nastlest. There have been,
to name only a few examples,
the very tough note to the
Adenauer government, the
even tougher note to Turkey,
the charge that the United
States was fomenting war in
the Middle East, the threatening Gromyko interview, a
series of fist-shaking Pravds
editorials, and the deliberately menacing tone of the announcement of the Soviet
test of a long range ballistic
missile.

The new tough line has not been confined to talk, moreover. The dispatch of Soviet cruisers and submarines to the Mediterranean was clearly meant to underline the tough words. And in recent weeks the number of United States Navy contacts with Soviet submarines in or near American waters has also sharply increased.

ALTOGETHER, among those who are paid to think about such things, there is no

longer the slightest doubt that Ethrushchev, immediately after his triumph, deliberately decided to get tough with the West. All sorts of possible rea-

All sorts of possible reasons are cited to explain this Kirushehev decision, from the newly powerful influence of Marshal Zhukov to the ned to disabuse the West of any notion that the Kremlin pirge was a symptom of Sovet weakness:

But Khrushchev is now undubtedly the supreme shaper of fleviet policy, and therefore a good part of the explanation surely lies in the character of Khrushchev himself. Everyone who has had contict with Khrushchev has carried sway one clear impression—that he is a gambler, a nan willing and even eager to take great risks.

One of his most striking caracteristics, moreover, is a pasent's delighted pride in it a technical achievements of issian actence. In 1985, when the Soviets first dispayed their long-range Bison it bomber at the Red Air Force Day show, one of the merican air attaches trained

nis binoculars on the reviewing stand. He saw Khimbchev jumping up i mid; flows with joy, grinning smilliumping the staid Bulganin on the back.

consider how the world scene must look to this man especially now that his solution and most have given him, in the ICBM, the shinlest and most murderous of new toys.

murderous of new toys.

He sees the West, led by the United States, unlistered by disarming. With his general bler's instinct, and his decrimal conviction that the West is doomed anyway, this must neem to him so time for pogotiation and accommodation. It must seem to him, rather, a time to press forward beldit, and to take big fields, the order to hasten the West's institution of the histen the West's institution.

This seems, at least, a reasonable partial interpretation of the increasingly tough and aggressive tone of Soviet policy, ever since the event which caused such gleatule speculation in Washington less than three months ago.

(Copyright, 1987, New Year

25X1A9a

Squaring the Soviet Circle '

By Marguerite Higgins

The beneficent actions of ter the Great were acco planed with repelling violence. blic reform was a struggle of despotism with the people, with its sluggishness. He hoped through the threat of his au-thority to evoke initiative in a re society . and to troduce into Russia the Euroan sciences, and popular ed-ation of the necessary condi-en of social initiative. He de-ed that the slave, femaining slave, should act consciously ad freely. The interaction of espotism and freedom, of edu-tion and elavery, this is the cation and elevery. This is the squaring of the circle-the rid- dee may have been solving for two centuries since Peter the Great and which is still unsolved ... "—written at the turn of the century by the Soviet historian Klutchevsky.

UT will Boviet Russia's pres-ent leadership succeed at last in squaring the circle—in voking initiative in a slave so-

It is certainly true that the evelopment of individual initiave (within Communist rules) ive (within Communiat rules) as become a prime target of Russia's top leadership. This is ertainly not because of any ove of freedom in the Western ense of the world but because he Russians are making gigan-ic efforts to change their presnt society—which amounts to nefficient state capitalism—to refricient state capitalism—to rfficient state capitalism. Only taking the waste, bureauc-racy, abject fear, over-centrali-tation out of the system can the extion out or the system can the Russians come anywhere near their goal of "overtaking and surpassing" the United States of America and other leading capitalist powers. And the Rus-sian leaders know " sian leaders know it.

The very practical matter of harnessing individual initiative harnessing individual initiative to Communist goals was an important — but generally overlooked—part of the Communist party boss Nikita S. Khrush-chev's argument against Stalinist terror in his famous "secret speech" to the Twentieth Party Compress. Congress.

"We should not forget," Khrushchev said, "that due to the arrests of party. Boviet and the arrests of party. Soviet and economic leaders, many workers began to perform their jobs uncertainly, showed over-cautiousness, feared their own shadows and began to show less initaltive in their work."

However eager official American circles may be to insist that nothing Russian has really changed (which is undoubtedly true of over-all Communist aims)

to overlook the anormously important domestic happenings within the Soviet Union of the past few months designed to pro-mote what the Russian historian described so long ago as "social initiative.

Certainly the essentials of dictatorship that made Stalin's terror possible have not been shollshed and the screws theo-retically could be put back on. Buffeven in a dictatorship there Buffeven in a dictatorahip there are important matters of degree just at agric piptons are enor-mously better run than others. And from the point of view of the Russian inside Russia, there have been some distinct im-provements that make life much

denounced trial by confession and on April 23 a watchdog committee was set up to guard against abuse of police power.

The Soviet government has ordered important decentral-ization of authority both in agriculture and industry so as to promote efficiency by letting local authorities decide what is best for their own communities.

In another rather stilted and certainly limited step, the So-viet press has been talking of a greater need of "freedom of discussion" and has invited auch groups as trade unions to stop being fearful and proceed to debate issues in public with the management of Soviet en-

Again on a small scale, Boviet tourists are being permit-ted to travel around Europe with 1,700 scheduled to go abroad on Soviet cruise ships this aummer.

Turning from the isolation-ism under Stalin, the Soviet government has stressed the need to learn in scientific and other fields from Western achievements and has encouraged Soviet acientists to speak with increasing frankness to Western varitors.

basically significant? Not Dazically significant? Still the average Russian today is less personally afraid than at any time in the last twenty years, as any informed traveler can

In providing materialist in-In providing materialist in-centives for its modern slave society, the Russian leadership has already had to make an enormous bow to human nature which, it turned out, not even the Communists have changed) and adopt methods long ago prevalent in so-called bourgeois socjeties. But the Communists, of course, would never admit to

this.

In touring the Kharkhov tractor plant in the Soviet Ukraine, for instance, this correspondent once asked about a blazing announcement on the factory bulletin board. It concerned an award given an engineer for inventing a way of re-drilling a metal part so as to use the same piece twice.

His reward, ten per cent of

His reward: ten per cent of the factory's net savings for the next fiscal year (fifty thousand rubles).

"That sounds very capitalis-tic to me," I remarked at the

"Oh. no." said the factory director. "that represents the Societist incentive."

efficiency and slavery in mod-ern times, is what will happen ern times, is what will happen in an era when relaxation of terror (even though modest by our standards) is combined with popular education. For though millions of Russians may be misinformed, their government in the process of seeking armed and industrial power has had to educate the masses in the essentials of reading, writing, arithmetic, engineering, etc. They know how to think.

Won't individuals who are

Won't individuals who are educated and know how to think —the cream of the Soviet intellectual crop—inevitably turn in a liberalized atmosphere to wondering about the logic of a system which by the admission of the Communists themselves was twisted by one man into the instrument for "an era of tragedy?"

Instead of promoting individ-ual initiative, wouldn't internal relaxation of tension bring questioning, even eventually de-mand for a change?

mand for a changer
Like Peter the Great before
them, Russia's dictators may indeed find how difficult it is to square the circle; to persuade slaves, who must remain slaves. to act consciously and freely," especially now that for the first especially now that for the lines time in history the slaves have the weapon of education and thought. And by their conces-sions of the past few years the Russian leadership has already given an initial tribute to the stubborn opposition of the masses whose answer to despot-table to the publishmess and ism has been sluggishness and apathy.

But the sad reminder is that in two hundred years of trying to square the circle, Russia's despots have always in a show-down chosen to revert to a slave society with all its inemiciency than to yield to freedom with its threat to their power. And there will have to be many more concessions, ranny more adaptations to historic demands of human nature, before the present Soviet regime could be present Soviet regime could be viewed as an exception. For as the Communist party boss Khrushchev himself returned, his regime has no objection to "terror when necessary"; and the necessity by his definition, would arise out of any real threat to his regime a dictatorabin. 25X1A9a

The widely hated 1940 law

proviments that mate life much map proviments that mate life much map provided For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP75-00001R000300 470043-7